

To:

Date:

Dear Mr.

This letter is intended to provide you with some helpful insight regarding the potential risks to landowners who enter into NBN contracts without fully understanding what appears to be some very onerous conditions, in particular the risks that they face of any future litigation for health related damages relating to the total microwave radiation generated between the various towers themselves, plus the WiFi radiation from each tower to each home and then the additional radiation from each of the 400 homes back to the tower which will for some dwellings dramatically increase the dosage.

It is the view of the growing group, that I am writing on behalf of, that these liabilities should reside with those who are actually responsible for introducing such high levels of radiation into the homes of families in [name of community].

However, we understand that unless the contract clearly establishes that these authorities accept full liability on the basis that they genuinely believe that the scientific evidence exists to support the notion that non-ionising radiation from these towers can do not harm, then the community would have little option but to pursue damages from current or future property owners that site the towers.

To assist you to better understand the risks of this occurring our named group has issued you with a formal notice of intent to seek damages relating to any future harm to members of the community. This is on the basis that sufficient evidence of harm exists to human tissue from the use of these NBN configured towers to require each party involved in the conception, planning, construction, operation and siting of the said tower to adopt a precautionary approach to risk management and risk mitigation.

The notice provides sufficient evidence of correlative harm associated with the particular range of non-ionising radiation frequencies to be utilised. You will see in the evidence that this ranges from the lowering of sperm count to a wide rang of conditions, including cancer.

To assist you with understanding the implications of introducing a background level of radiation operating 24 hours by 7 days a week into our environment we have supplied you with evidence that illustrates how this impacts schools. We also provide evidence of how institutions and many governments around the world, after having conducted a genuine risk assessment, have introduced measures to ban wireless systems in favour of cable.

You will be aware that the Liberal Party and local Members promised [name of community] exactly that safer option in the form of fibre optics to the local exchange or node and then to utilise the existing copper wire phone lines to distribute. In [name of community] we already have that configuration which supplies broadband at a higher speed that the NBN can guarantee via the tower. I mention this because any party who in the future faces litigation will have to justify why the safe and probably less expensive option was overlooked for a high impact and high risk option.

We have all provided evidence that the standards set by industry and used by governments for their own requirements are inadequate from the perspective of ensuring that children and our vulnerable members of the community are protected from radiation harm. For example, the hypothesis employed for exposure guidelines that non-ionizing radiation within the electromagnetic spectrum that covers power frequencies, microwaves and radio frequencies is harmless if it lacks thermal or ionizing effects is now outdated as studies are producing evidence of biological responses which cause harm, including carcinogenic potential, as stated by the WHO. It is generally agreed that further research is needed to determine the long-term effects of continued exposure at these radiation levels and their possible relevance to health and to

identify gaps in our current knowledge. This becomes more crucial as evidence mounts to the carcinogenic potential of radiation exposure.

The attached 35 page report provides sufficient evidence to demonstrate that again a precautionary approach is required to match the evidence of risk and to ensure that no harm is done to our community.

We will provide you and any future property owner with additional notices as more evidence of risk becomes available so that you can factor the cost of risk mitigation into your business planning, should the NBN refuse to protect you in the contract.

Following extensive research and input from many experts we would respectfully and highly recommend that before signing any contract, should one be offered, you conduct a responsible approach to the ongoing risk to your business and property asset. This, we believe, would involve seeking specialist legal council for a qualified legal opinion and to obtain some insurance quotations. Our intention in providing you with the formal notice along with the detailed evidence and general information is that it will save you much time in briefing the various parties who can provide independent legal advice relating to the cost of insurance relating to the magnitude of potential litigation based upon the proven health conditions that are associated with the exposure of this type of radiation.

You are most welcome to make contact with our group who will be pleased to assist you in any way to comprehend the level of concern and the body of evidence that underpins this growing anxiety within the heart of our community.

Signed on behalf of [name of community]